



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/080,897	02/22/2002	Steven B. Liebers	D1062/20012 9375 EXAMINER	
3000	7590 02/12/2004			
CAESAR, RIVISE, BERNSTEIN,			BUI, LUAN KIM	
COHEN & POKOTILOW, LTD. 12TH FLOOR, SEVEN PENN CENTER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1635 MARKET STREET			3728 DATE MAILED: 02/12/2004	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2212				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
7)	10/080,897	LIEBERS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Luan K Bui	3728			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer and the correction of the original transfer and the correction of the control of the correction of the control of the correction of the control of the correction of the c	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2-3.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/080,897

Art Unit: 3728

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-8, 10, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 2. Strauss et al. (5.249.675; hereinafter Strauss'675) in view of Foster (2,720,305) and Gardiner et al. (4,749,082; hereinafter Gardiner'082). Strauss'675 disclose a package for non-prescription reading glasses comprising a transparent container (10, 14) having a cavity, a pair of nonprescription reading glasses positioned within the cavity of the container and is visible to a consumer through the container. Strauss'675 also disclose the other claimed limitations except for a case for the glasses being positioned separately from the reading glasses within the container (in claims 1 and 15, the case can be positioned outside the package and behind the container but does not to be within the container since there is no such requirement in the claims). Foster shows that it is old and conventional to provide a case for a pair of glasses. Gardiner'082 teach a display package (310) having an aperture adapted to suspend on a support rod of a rack comprising a container having a first cavity for holding a case (365) of a knife, a second cavity for holding a knife (312) and an aperture adapted to suspend on a support rod of a rack (Figures 11-13). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made in view of Foster and Gardiner'082 to modify the package of Strauss'675 so it includes a case for holding the pair of non-prescription reading glasses as taught by Foster for better protecting the glasses when not in use and the container includes a first

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/080,897

Art Unit: 3728

cavity for holding the case separate from the cavity for holding the glasses as taught by Gardiner'082 to allow a potential customer to inspect the glasses prior of purchasing. As to claims 15 and 16, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Gardiner'082 to modify the package of Strauss'675 as modified so it includes a display rack having rods for holding a plurality of packages.

Claims 11, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 3. Pacelli (3,116,829) in view of Strauss et al. (5,249,675; hereinafter Strauss'675). Pacelli discloses a package (2) for sunglasses comprising a transparent container (4) having a front section (14, 16, 18) and a rear section (40) and a pair of sunglasses secured within the sections. The sunglasses positioned horizontally within the container and having the temples pass through openings (46, 48) in a rear face and folded against the rear face. The temples is adapted to be opened to project horizontally from the sunglasses and the container is adapted to be placed on the face of a potential customer with the temples placed over the customer's ears and the lenses is viewable through the rear face and the front face. Pacelli also discloses the other claimed limitations except for the sunglasses being a pair of non-prescription reading glasses. Strauss'675 show a package for non-prescription reading glasses comprising a transparent container (10, 14) having a cavity, a pair of non-prescription reading glasses positioned within the cavity of the container and is visible to a consumer through the container. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Strauss'675 to modify the package of Pacelli so the package is used to hold a pair of non-prescription reading glasses to allow the package for holding various types of glasses.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/080,897

Art Unit: 3728

4. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Weaver (2,461,792). Pacelli further fails to show spaced openings being in the rear section and the front section and aligned with the lenses of the glasses. Weaver shows an eyeglass case comprising a rear section (1) having spaced openings (15, 16) and a front section (5) having spaced openings (17, 18). The openings aligned with the lenses positioned within the case. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Weaver to modify the package of Pacelli so each of the front section and the rear section includes spaced openings aligned with the lenses to allow the potential customer to view the lenses within the container without removing the glasses from the container.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/080,897

Art Unit: 3728

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luan K. Bui whose telephone number is (703) 305-5861. If in receiving this Office Action, it is apparent to Applicant that certain documents are missing from the record for example copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to TC 3700 Customer Service at (703) 306-5648.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 872-9301. Facsimile correspondence for this application should be sent to (703) 872-9306 for Formal papers and After Final communications.

lkb February 6, 2004 Luan K. Bui Primary Examiner

Page 5